

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –62)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,081 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk  
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio  
By: /s/Robert Pitts  
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

**SCHEDULE CTO-62 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALASKA			
AK	3	18-00243	Kodiak Area Native Association v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
AK	3	18-00247	v. Norton Sound Health Corporation et al
GEORGIA MIDDLE			
GAM	7	18-00174	ECHOLS COUNTY GEORGIA V. PURDUE PHARMA, et al.
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	18-06959	Intergovernmental Risk Management Agency et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
<del>LAE</del>	<del>2</del>	<del>18-09557</del>	<del>Pholmann v. Purdue Pharma LP et al</del> Opposed 10/31/18
LOUISIANA MIDDLE			
LAM	3	18-00914	Concordia Parish v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
LAM	3	18-00915	Franklin Parish v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NEW MEXICO			
NM	2	18-00959	County Commissioners of Dona Ana County, New Mexico v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE			
NCM	1	18-00874	DAVIE COUNTY v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al

NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN

NCW	5	18-00169	Alexander County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	--

SOUTH DAKOTA

SD	4	18-04132	Green-Kuchta v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
----	---	----------	---